### Assessment of Invasive Species in Indiana’s Natural Areas

**OFFICIAL European Highbush Cranberry (Viburnum opulus var. opulus) ASSESSMENT**

Answers are **highlighted in yellow**, comments are inserted in *italics*

*Last assessed 5/2/2019 by Ross Miller, Reviewed and approved by IPAC 12/9/2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invasive Ranking Summary</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Impacts</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential For Expansion</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty of Management</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Score:</strong></td>
<td><strong>91</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rankings:</strong> Low &lt; 45, Medium 45 – 80, High &gt; 80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Contents of the Assessment:**

**Section I – Invasion Status.** Pages. Determines whether the species being evaluated is invasive in Indiana.

**Section II – Ecological Impacts of Invasion.** Pages 2 - 3. Evaluates the significance of impacts of the species.

**Section III – Potential for Expansion.** Pages 3 - 4. Evaluates the actual and/or potential expansion of the species.

**Section IV – Difficulty of Management.** Pages 4 - 5. Evaluates how hard it is to control the invasive species.

**Section V – Commercial Value.** Page 5. Evaluates how valuable the species is economically in Indiana.

Questions in Sections I – V may direct you to one or more of the following sections for particular invasive species:

**Section A.** Page 7. For species which have impacts limited to a few sites, assesses the potential for further spread.

**Section B.** Page 7. For species which have medium impacts but high value, assesses whether species could be used in specific circumstances that would prevent escape and invasion.

A worksheet for use with the assessment is found on page 9

**Automatic Exemption From the Assessment**

Is this species listed on any federal or on an Indiana state noxious, or prohibited plant lists?

*If YES then do not proceed with assessment but indicate a conclusion of Do not use this plant on the front of the response form.*

*If NO then go to Section 1.*

---

**Section 1**

**Invasion Status**

1-a Current Invasion in Indiana

1. Does this species occur in any natural areas in Indiana?
   *If NO then go to Section III-c (page 4).*
   *If YES then go to 1-a 2.*

2. Does it ONLY occur in natural areas of Indiana because it has persisted from its previous cultivation (e.g., in abandoned farmland or homesteads)?
   *If YES then go to Section III-c (page 4).*
   *If NO then go to Section 1-b (below).*
1-b Invasion Status in Indiana

Evidence of invasion (forming self-sustaining and expanding populations within a plant community with which it had not previously been associated) must be provided. If not available in a published, quantitative form, this evidence must include written observations from at least three appropriate biologists.

1. Is species invasive ONLY when natural disturbance regime and scale have been altered? (e.g. where frequency, extent, or severity of fires have been reduced by human activity).
   If YES then go to questions 1-b 2.
   If NO – the species is invasive, go to Section II (below).

2. Has this species ever been known to persist, following colonization, when the natural regime is resumed and the natural flora/communities recover? (e.g., is not an early successional species that only temporarily invades disturbed sites.)
   If YES (or unknown) - the species is invasive, go to Section II (below).
   If NO (known not to persist) the species is currently not invasive in Indiana. Go to Section III-c (page 4) to assess the species’ potential for future invasion.

cases assign 0.5 points.

i) Causes long-term, broad alterations in ecosystem processes changing the community as a whole (e.g., invasion of cattails changes hydrology, drying the site and allowing open aquatic systems to become forested).  

ii) Has negatively impacted Indiana State-listed or Federal-listed plants or animals (choose one of the following):
   Displacement, death or hybridization has been documented AND occurs in at least 20% of known locations of the listed species, OR these effects occur in less than 20% of known locations of the listed species, but at least 4 different listed species are affected.

   Displacement, death or hybridization occurs in less than 20% of locations of the listed species OR impacts are considered likely because the listed and invasive species closely co-habit (e.g., compete for light).

   *Viburnum opulus v. opulus is growing in close proximity to the state-rare white lady’s slipper (Cypripedium candidum) in northern Indiana (personal observation, Stuart Orr, Ecologist w/ TNC, 2019).*

iii) Displaces or precludes native vegetation (affecting mortality and/or recruitment) by achieving infestations in the state that have at least 50% coverage of this species (as defined in the glossary) in the affected stratum that meet any of the following criteria:
   a) collectively add up to at least 10 acres
   b) are 5 infestations of at least 0.25 acres
   c) are 5 infestations that cover an entire localized community (e.g. sinkhole, seeps, fens, bogs, barrens, cliffs)
   d) are 5 infestations some of which are at least 0.25 acres and others of which cover entire localized communities.

   *V. opulus v. opulus has been reported to be a threat to forests and decrease native species (Randall and Marinelli, 1996).*

   *V. opulus v. opulus is another species listed invasive throughout Pennsylvania (Randall and Marinelli, 1996) that has also been reported to escape cultivation and threaten forests by displacing native plant populations (Randall and Marinelli, 1996; Gleason and Cronquist, 1991).*

   *A single population in northern Indiana is estimated at 5-10 acres that is dominated by V. opulus v. opulus (Scott Namestnik (IN State Botanist, 2019, personal observation). Given that there are many other reports (from 52 different counties) there is a very good likelihood that the total impacted area adds to more than 10 acres.*

iv) Changes community structure in ways other than vegetation displacement (e.g., alters wildlife abundance, adds a new stratum, or increases stem density within a stratum by more than 5-fold).

v) Hybridizes with native Indiana plants or commercially-available species.

   *This shrub is capable of hybridization with V. trilobum (Randall and Marinelli, 1996).*

vi) Covers over 15% of invaded stratum (but if 12 points were assigned for statement iii, do not assign points here) on > 10 acres in the state.

**Total points** (place in worksheet page 8): **20**
II-b  Range of Habitats in Which Species is Invasive


Savanna:  13) Mesic savanna*, 14) Dry sand savanna*, 15) Dry-mesic sand savanna*


Lake:  44) Lake, 45) Pond


Is this species known to be invasive in at least four habitat-types (note – rare habitat-types are marked with * and count as 2 when adding) OR does it occur in at least one habitat-type of each of the terrestrial and palustrine/aquatic lists (palustrine/aquatic habitats are shown in bold) 6 habitat types, two of them rare, for a total of 8 points.

If YES then multiply total score from II-a by 1.5
then go to Section II-c (Below)  20 x 1.5 = 30

If NO then multiply total score from II-a by 1
then go to Section II-c (Below)

Place point total in worksheet, page 9.

II-c  Proportion of Invaded Sites with Significant Impacts

Of the invaded sites, might any of the worst impacts [items i-v in section II-a] only occur under a few, identifiable, environmental conditions (i.e., edaphic or other biological conditions occurring in 1-10% of the sites)? Documentation of evidence must be provided for a YES answer.

If NO or NO SCORE on items i to v in section II-a
then go to Section III
If YES then go to Section A (page 7)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section III</th>
<th>Potential for Expansion</th>
<th>Potential Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

This section evaluates a species’ actual and/or potential for expansion in Indiana.

III-a  Potential for Becoming Invasive in Indiana

1. Is information available on the occurrence of new populations of this species in Indiana over the last 5 years?
If YES then go to section III-b
If NO go to Section III-c to estimate potential for expansion based on the biology of the species.

III-b. Known Rate of Invasion.

1. Was this species reported in more than two new discrete sites (e.g., lakes, parks, fragments of habitats at least 5 miles apart) in any 12 month period within the last 5 years?
   If NO then P = Low; then go to Section IV
   If YES then P = High; then go to Section IV

III-c. Estimated Rate of Invasion. This section is used to predict the risk of invasion for species that are 1) not currently invasive in the state, and 2) invasive in the state but for which no data on current rate of spread exists. These questions are based on Hiebert et al. 1995.

1. Does this species hybridize with any State-listed plants or commercially-important species? (E.g., exhibit pollen / genetic invasion.)
   If YES then go to Section B (page 7)
   If NO then go to question III-c 2.

2. Add up all points from statements that are true for this species. Points

   i. Ability to complete reproductive cycle in area of concern
      a. not observed to complete reproductive cycle 0
      b. observed to complete reproductive cycle 5

   ii. Mode of reproduction
      a. reproduces almost entirely by vegetative means 1
      b. reproduces only by seeds 3
      c. reproduces vegetatively and by seed 5

   Viburnums exhibit some regrowth from meristems after cutting (Moore, 2009).
   V. opulus can “spread widely by layering” (Kollman and Grubb, 2002)

   iii. Vegetative reproduction
      a. no vegetative reproduction 0
      b. vegetative reproduction rate maintains population 1
      c. vegetative reproduction rate results in moderate increase in population size 3
      d. vegetative reproduction rate results in rapid increase in population size 5

   V. opulus can “spread widely by layering” (Kollman and Grubb, 2002)

   iv. Frequency of sexual reproduction for mature plant
      a. almost never reproduces sexually in area 0
      b. once every five or more years 1
      c. every other year 3
      d. one or more times a year 5

   v. Number of seeds per plant
      a. few (0-10) 1
      b. moderate (11-1,000) 3
      c. many-seeded (>1,000) 5

Because ‘Roseum’, V. opulus, and ‘Xanthocarpum’ germinated at moderate rates and produced many seeds, these plants are may not be desirable for the landscape due to their ability to escape cultivation, establish at undesirable locations, and become invasive. (Conklin, 2007).
Table B.3. ANOVA with a linear model for the number of flowers/cluster and seeds/cluster from 10 randomly clusters on marked branches of V. opulus cultivars or the species located at Longwood Gardens the Pennsylvania State University during the (a)2004 and (b)2005 season. Values are means ± SE. SE was not calculated for ‘Losely’s Compact’ in 2005 because of the small sample size. Also from (Conklin, 2007).

a. Cultivar Flowers/cluster (no.) Seeds/cluster (no.)
Roseum 68.7 ± 6.59 b 7.85 ± 1.10 a
V. opulus 36.3 ± 4.72 c 5.67 ± 1.43 a
Xanthocarpum 88.5 ± 8.20 a 5.99 ± 1.57 a

vi. Dispersal ability
  a. little potential for long-distance dispersal 0
  b. great potential for long-distance dispersal 5

*As with other species in the genus, the cranberry bush fruits are eaten with seeds readily dispersed by birds (Moore, 2009).*

vii. Germination requirements
  a. requires open soil and disturbance to germinate 0
  b. can germinate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions 3
  c. can germinate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 5

*V. opulus was able to germinate and establish under parent shrubs and under other shrubs in garden experiments (Kollman and Grubb, 2002)*

viii. Competitive ability
  a. poor competitor for limiting factors 0
  b. moderately competitive for limiting factors 3
  c. highly competitive for limiting factors 5

Total points for questions i – viii (place in worksheet page 9): 34

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section IV</th>
<th>Difficulty of Management</th>
<th>Management Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**IV Factors That Increase the Difficulty of Management**

Add up all points from statements that are true for this species then go to Section V (page 5). Assign 0.5 point for each statement for which a true/false response is not known.

i) Control techniques that would eliminate the worst-case effects (as listed in Section II) have been investigated but none has been found. 15

ii) This species is difficult to control without significant damage to native species because: it is widely dispersed throughout the sites (i.e., does not occur within discrete clumps nor monocultures); it is attached to native species (e.g., vine, epiphytes or parasite); or there is a native plant which is easily mistaken for this invader in: (choose one)
  - ≥ 50% of discrete sites in which this species grows; 10
  - 25% to 50% of discrete sites in which this species grows. 7

*It is very difficult to distinguish V. opulus from the native V. opulus var. americana. The only difference is the shape of the petiolar glands.*

iii) Total contractual costs of known control method per acre in first year, including access, personnel, equipment, and materials (any needed re-vegetation is not included) > $2,000/acre
iv) Further site restoration is *usually* necessary following plant control to reverse ecosystem impacts and to restore the original habitat-type or to prevent immediate re-colonization of the invader.

v) The total area over which management would have to be conducted is: (choose one)

- ≥ 100 acres; 5
- < 100 but > 50 acres. 2
- ≤ 50 but > 10 acres. 1
- ≤ 10 acres ½

*Estimated based on the number of counties with infestations (52) and report of 5-10 acre infestation dominated by this species (personal observation, Scott Namestnik, IN State Botanist, 2019)*.

vi) Following the first year of control of this species, it would be expected that individual sites would require re-survey or re-treatment, due to recruitment from persistent seeds, spores, or vegetative structures, or by dispersal from outside the site: (choose one)

- at least once a year for the next 5 years; 10
- one to 4 times over the next 5 years; 6
- regrowth not known 2

*Viburnum seeds reported are quite hard and can be stored for years. No evidence, however, for viability in soil for longer than 10 years. (Moore, 2009)*

vii) Occurs in more than 20 discrete sites (e.g., water-basins, parks, fragments of habitats at least 5 miles apart). 3

viii) The number of viable, independent propagules per mature plant (e.g., seeds, spores, fragments, tubers, etc. detached from parent) is > 200 per year AND one or more of the following:

A. the propagules can survive for more than 1 year;
B. the propagules have structures (fleshy coverings, barbs, plumes, or bladders) that indicate they may spread widely by birds, mammals, wind or water;
C. the infestations at 3 or more sites exhibit signs of long distance dispersal. Some possible indicators of long distance dispersal include: the infestation has outlier individuals distant [≥50 yards] from the core population; the infestation apparently lacks sources of propagules within ¼ mile.

*There are sites in 52 counties per EDDMaps.org*

ix) Age at first reproduction is within first 10% of likely life-span and/or less than 3 months. 2

**Total points** (place in worksheet page 9): 27

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section V</th>
<th>Commercial Value</th>
<th>Value Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V-a Commercial Value</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Does this species have any commercial value?
If response is NO then V = 0 and Go to Conversion of Index Scores to Index Categories

If response is YES then go to Section V-b

V-b Factors that Indicate a Significant Commercial Value

Add up all points from statements that are true for this species. Assign 0.5 point for each statement for which a true/false response is not known.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>i) This species is sold in national or regional retail stores (e.g., WalMart, Home Depot, Publix).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>ii) State-wide there are more than 20 commercial growers of this species.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>iii) More than five growers in Indiana rely on this species as more than 10% of their production.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>iv) This species has provided a crop, turf, or feed source (e.g., forage, nectar) that has been, or resulted in, a significant source of income for at least five farmers for over 20 years.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>v) This species is utilized statewide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>vi) There are more than 100 retail seed outlets statewide</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total points (place in worksheet page 8): 13

Section A (from Section II-c)

A1 Can the habitats in which the worst-case ecological impacts occur (items i to v in Section II-a) be clearly defined as different from invaded sites where there are no such impacts (e.g., defined by edaphic or biological factors)? (If ecological impacts include negative effects on a State-listed species, then the specific habitats in which that State-listed species occurs must be clearly distinguishable from habitats in which it does not occur.)

If NO then return to Section III (page 4)
If YES then Go to question A2 and prepare such a site definition

A2 Can an estimate be made of the maximum distance that propagules (or pollen if hybridization is a concern) might reasonably be expected to disperse?

If NO then return to Section III (page 4)
If YES then prepare instructions for Specified and Limited Use based on maximum dispersal distance (e.g., may be acceptable for use in specific areas but not near habitats where impacts are high.) Reassess if the incidence of worst-case impacts increases above 10% or within 10 years, whichever is earlier. THEN resume the assessment at Section III to provide scores for the other indices.

Section B (from Section III-c or if Value = High and Impact = Medium)

B1 Are there specific circumstances in which this species could be used that would not be expected to result in escape and invasion? (E.g., foliage plants that are only used indoors and which can be reasonably prevented, by conspicuous labeling, from use or disposal in the landscape.)
If NO, then retain the previously derived Conclusion.
If YES, then Acceptable for Specified and Limited Use where regulations and educational programs for penalties and enforcement of misuse exist. Reassess this species every 2 years.

Worksheet for Assessment

Section I:
Follow directions to different sections.

Section II:
Impacts Point Total: \( _{16} \times (1 \text{ or } 1.5) = 30 \) Impacts

Section III:
Potential = High Medium or Low
34 Potential for Expansion

Section IV:
Difficulty of Management Point Total:
27 Difficulty of Management

Section V:
Commercial Value Point Total:
13 Value

Conversion of Index Scores to Index Categories

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Invasive Ranking Summary</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecological Impacts</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential For Expansion</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficulty of Management</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Score:</strong> 91</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rankings: Low < 45, Medium 45 – 80, High > 80

Assessment History

Original assessment:
Reviewed and updated: 5/2/2019 by Ross Miller and Ellen Jacquart
Reviewed and approved: 10/30/2019 by IPAC (Dawn Slack, Will Drews, David Gorden, Ross Miller, Brenda Howard, Stephanie Schuck)

Literature Cited


