#### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form version date: August 12, 2008

Scientific name:	Morus alba	USDA Plants Code: MOAL
Common names:	White mulberry	
Native distribution:	China	
Date assessed:	8/13/2012	
Assessors:	Ellen Jacquart	
Reviewers:	Scott Namestnik and Stuart Orr	
Date Approved:	September 21, 2012	

Indiana Invasiveness Rank: High 70.00–80.00

Inv	vasiveness Ranking Summary	Total (Total Answered*)	Total
(see	e details under appropriate sub-section)	Possible	
1	Ecological impact	40 (30)	16
2	Biological characteristic and dispersal ability	25 (22)	17
3	Ecological amplitude and distribution	25 ( <u>25</u> )	21
4	Feasibility of control	10 ( <u>10</u> )	7
	Outcome score	100 ( <u>87</u> ) <sup>b</sup>	61 <sup>a</sup>
	Relative maximum score †		70.11
	Indiana Invasiveness Rank §	High 70.00-	80.00

<sup>\*</sup> For questions answered "unknown" do not include point value in "Total Answered Points Possible." If "Total Answered Points Possible" is less than 70.00 points, then the overall invasive rank should be listed as "Unknown." †Calculated as 100(a/b) to two decimal places.

§Very High >80.00; High 70.00-80.00; Moderate 50.00-69.99; Low 40.00-49.99; Insignificant <40.00

## A. DISTRIBUTION (KNOWN/POTENTIAL):

	as this species been documented to persist without	
	on in IN? (reliable source; voucher not required)	
	Yes – continue to A2.2	
	No – continue to A2.1	
		Legend
	nat is the likelihood that this species will occur	PLANTS CAPS
	st outside of cultivation given the climate in Indiana?	NO RECORD
	om occurrence data in other states with similar	Date: 8/13/2012
climates)		
	Likely – continue to A2.2	
	Not likely	
		\$ 2-18 As

Documentation:

## NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form version date: August 12, 2008

Sources of information: Range maps compiled from PLANTS database, http://plants.usda.gov/java/; Indiana CAPS database, http://extension.entm.purdue.edu/CAPS/index.html; Indiana IPSAWG reports (unpublished); and EDDMapS reports, http://eddmaps.org/.

## If the species does not occur and is not likely to occur in Indiana, then stop here as there is no need to assess the species.

	then stop here a	s there is no need to assess the	species.	
		known suitable habitats within India man management. Managed habitats  Wetland Habitats  Marshes  Fens  Bogs  Shrub swamps  Forested wetlands/riparian  Beaches/dunes  Ditches*		
Oti	her potential or known suitable hab	itats within Indiana:		
So Co	ocumentation: urces of information: ordeiro & Fellows, 2006; Brooklyn l	Botanic Garden, 2008, author's person	nal observation.	
	COLOGICAL IMPACT			
regime.	•	rocesses and System-Wide Para erosion, sedimentation rates), he availability salinity pH)	` •	
A.	No perceivable impact on ecosyst impact information if a species is	em processes based on research studi widespread (>10 occurrences in min 0 reports/publications), and has been	mally managed	0
B.	Influences ecosystem processes to on soil nutrient availability)	o a minor degree (e.g., has a perceival	ole but mild influence	3
C.	Significant alteration of ecosyster	n processes (e.g., increases sedimenta en water that are important to waterfo		7
D.	Major, possibly irreversible, alter- species alters geomorphology and fixes substantial levels of nitroger plants or more likely to favor non	ation or disruption of ecosystem proc l/or hydrology, affects fire frequency, n in the soil making soil unlikely to so	esses (e.g., the alters soil pH, or	10
U.	Unknown		Score	U
	Documentation:			
	Identify ecosystem processes imp	acted (or if applicable, justify choosi	ng answer A in the	

Identify ecosystem processes impacted (or if applicable, justify choosing answer A in the absence of impact information)

Species has been present in U.S. since 1700s and no perceivable impact on ecosystem processes have been reported. However, no literature can be cited that directly addresses the issue of M. alba's impacts on ecosystem processes; fewer than 10 publications that address this plant.

# NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

	Sources of information:	
1.2 Im	Cordiero & Fellows, 2006.	
-	pact on Natural Community Structure  No perceived impact; establishes in an existing layer without influencing its structure	0
A.	Influences structure in one layer (e.g., changes the density of one layer)	0
В.	Significant impact in at least one layer (e.g., creation of a new layer or elimination of an	3
C.	existing layer)	7
D.	Major alteration of structure (e.g., covers canopy, eradicating most or all layers below)	10
U.	Unknown	10
0.	Score	3
	Documentation:	3
	Identify type of impact or alteration:	
	Occasionally dense stands are observed clearly, therefore, impacting the density of one	
	layer.	
	Sources of information:	
1 2 I	Weber, 2003; Cordiero & Fellows, 2006.	
-	pact on Natural Community Composition  No perceived impact; causes no apparent change in native populations	0
A. B.	Influences community composition (e.g., reduces the number of individuals in one or more	0 3
D.	native species in the community)	3
C.	Significantly alters community composition (e.g., produces a significant reduction in the	7
	population size of one or more native species in the community)	
D.	Causes major alteration in community composition (e.g., results in the extirpation of one or	10
	several native species, reducing biodiversity or change the community composition towards	
U.	species exotic to the natural community) Unknown	
0.	Score	3
	Documentation:	
	Identify type of impact or alteration:	
	When stands are dense they can reduce native forest regeneration.	
	Sources of information:	
	Weber, 2003; Cordiero & Fellows, 2006.	
-	pact on other species or species groups (cumulative impact of this species on	
	nals, fungi, microbes, and other organisms in the community it invades.	
-	es include reduction in nesting/foraging sites; reduction in habitat	
	ivity; injurious components such as spines, thorns, burrs, toxins; suppresses	
	iment microflora; interferes with native pollinators and/or pollination of a	
native s	pecies; hybridizes with a native species; hosts a non-native disease which	
impacts	a native species)	
A.	Negligible perceived impact	0
В.	Minor impact	3
C.	Moderate impact	7
D.	Severe impact on other species or species groups	10
U.	Unknown	
	Score	10
	Documentation:	
	Identify type of impact or alteration:	
	The species carries a variety of fungal root diseases that can kill the native Morus rubra; it	
	can also hybridize with the M. rubra threatening the native species, which does appear to be	

### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form version date: August 12, 2008

in decline at least in lower New York <see map at http://nymf.bbg.org/profile\_map.asp?id=409>.

Sources of information:

	Husband et al., 2001; Maryland Cooperative Extension, 2003; Weber, 2003; Burgess et al., 2005, Cordiero & Fellows, 2006; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.	
	Total Possible	30
	Section One Total	16
	IOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DISPERSAL ABILITY	
2.1. Mo	ode and rate of reproduction (provisional thresholds, more investigation needed)	
A.	No reproduction by seeds or vegetative propagules (i.e. plant sterile with no sexual or asexual reproduction).	0
В.	Limited reproduction (fewer than 10 viable seeds per plant AND no vegetative reproduction; if viability is not known, then maximum seed production is less than 100 seeds per plant and no vegetative reproduction)	1
C.	Moderate reproduction (fewer than 100 viable seeds per plant - if viability is not known, then maximum seed production is less than 1000 seeds per plant - OR limited successful vegetative spread documented)	2
D.	Abundant reproduction with vegetative asexual spread documented as one of the plants prime reproductive means OR more than 100 viable seeds per plant (if viability is not known, then maximum seed production reported to be greater than 1000 seeds per plant.)	4
U.	Unknown	
	Score	4
	Documentation:  Describe key reproductive characteristics (including seeds per plant):  Reproduction is by seed and a single plant can produce copious fruit with 1000s seed per individual.  Sources of information:  Schaffner, 1936; Czarapata, 2005; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.	
	ate potential for long-distance dispersal (e.g. bird dispersal, sticks to animal hair,	
buoyant A.	fruits, pappus for wind-dispersal)  Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms)	0
В.	Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of adaptations)	1
C.	Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant)	2
D.	Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant)	4
U.	Unknown	
	Score	4
	Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Fruit are readily eaten by birds and other small animals. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; authors' personal observations.	
2.3. Pot	ential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possible	

mechanisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along

highways, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation

# NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

A. Does not occur  B. Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient)  C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent)  D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful)  U. Unknown  Score  3  Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Many varieties are cultivated originally for silk production as well as food, and ornament; a weeping cultivar is sold. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.  2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc.  A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage  3. Possesses to enharacteristics that increase competitive advantage  4. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage  5. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  6. Unknown  Score  6  Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor  A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  0  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Certain of regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  2. Can germinate/regenerate in evegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)	manage	ment equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.)		
infrequent or inefficient) C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent) D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown  Score  3  Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Many varieties are cultivated originally for silk production as well as food, and ornament; a weeping cultivar is sold. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006. 2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc. A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage C. Possesses to necharacteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses to necharacteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses to one characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses to one characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Possesses to characteristics that increase competitive advantage G. Poscesses the or	_			0
C. Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent)  D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful)  U. Unknown  Score  3  Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Many varieties are cultivated originally for silk production as well as food, and ornament; a weeping cultivar is sold. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.  2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc.  A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage  C. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses on of infertile soils. Sources of information: Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor  A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagales.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range or in special conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)	B.			1
D. High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful) U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Many varieties are cultivated originally for silk production as well as food, and ornament; a weeping cultivar is sold. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.  2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc.  A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0. Possesses on characteristics that increase competitive advantage 3. C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage 6. U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit 0. B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagates. B. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)	C.	Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate	•	2
Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Many varieties are cultivated originally for silk production as well as food, and ornament; a weeping cultivar is sold. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.  2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc.  A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage  B. Possesses no characteristic that increase competitive advantage  C. Possesses no characteristic that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses in characteristic that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses in characteristic that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses in characteristic that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  Score  6  Documentation:  Dosumentation:  Ocure of information:  Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range or in special conditions  G. Can germi		High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful)		3
Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Many varieties are cultivated originally for silk production as well as food, and ornament; a weeping cultivar is sold. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.  2.4. Characteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance, ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc.  A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage  B. Possesses no characteristic that increase competitive advantage  C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  G. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage  Score  6  Documentation:  Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor  A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  O. B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  O. Documentation:  Documentation:  Does not form thickets.  Sources of information:  Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B	U.		ore	3
ability to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation, allelopathy, etc.  A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0.8 Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage 0.9 Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage 0.0 U. Unknown Score 0.0 U. Unknown Score 0.0 Documentation:  Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit 0.8 Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown Score 0  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions 2 C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 3 U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)		Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: Many varieties are cultivated originally for silk production as well as food, and ornament; weeping cultivar is sold. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.		
allelopathy, etc.  A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions 2. G. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions 3. U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)				
A. Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:  Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit O. B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score U	•			
B. Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage C. Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score U	-	·		0
U. Unknown  Score 6  Documentation: Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms U. Unknown  Score 0  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score U				
Documentation:  Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor  A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)	C.	Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage		6
Documentation:     Evidence of competitive ability:     Perennial, grows on infertile soils.     Sources of information:     Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor  A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:     Describe growth form:     Does not form thickets.     Sources of information:     Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  U	U.		г	
Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information: Weeks, 2003; Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.5. Growth vigor A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms U. Unknown  Score  Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  U			re	6
2.5. Growth vigor  A. Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit  B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:  Describe growth form:  Does not form thickets.  Sources of information:  Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  U		Evidence of competitive ability: Perennial, grows on infertile soils. Sources of information:		
B. Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms  U. Unknown  Score  Documentation:  Describe growth form:  Does not form thickets.  Sources of information:  Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  U				
Documentation: Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules. B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score U		Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers		_
Documentation:     Describe growth form:     Does not form thickets.     Sources of information:     Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score	U.		г	
Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.  2.6. Germination/Regeneration  A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score			re	0
A. Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  U		Describe growth form: Does not form thickets. Sources of information:		
vegetative propagules.  B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions  C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions  Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score  U				
B. Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions C. Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score U	A.			0
U. Unknown (No studies have been completed)  Score U	B.			
Score U				3
	U.	•	vro [	TT
			10	U

# NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

		Describe germination requirements:  Specific studies on its germinations requirements are not known.  Sources of information:	
2.7.	Oth	er species in the genus invasive in Indiana or elsewhere	
	A.	No	0
	B.	Yes	3
1	U.	Unknown	
		Score	0
		Documentation:	
		Species:	
		Weldy & Werier, 2005.  Total Possible	22
		Section Two Total	17
		Section 1 wo 10tal	1 /
2	E/	COLOGICAL AMPLITUDE AND DISTRIBUTION	
3.1. (use cove Min bour Miss New latit	Der san ered neso ndar sour Br	nsity of stands in natural areas in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada ne definition as Gleason & Cronquist which is: "The part of the United States extends from the Atlantic Ocean west to the western boundaries of ota, Iowa, northern Missouri, and southern Illinois, south to the southern ries of Virginia, Kentucky, and Illinois, and south to the Missouri River in ri. In Canada the area covered includes Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, unswick, and parts of Quebec and Ontario lying south of the 47th parallel of	0 2 4
		Score	2
		Documentation: Identify reason for selection, or evidence of weedy history: Stands greater than ½ acre have been seen at Houghton Lake Nature Preserve Sources of information: Orr, personal observation	
3.2.	Nuı	mber of habitats the species may invade	
	A.	Not known to invade any natural habitats given at A2.2	0
	B.	Known to occur in two or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least one a natural	1
	C.	habitat. Known to occur in three or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least two a natural	2
	<b>C</b> .	habitat.	2
]	D.	Known to occur in four or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least three a natural habitat.	4
	E.	Known to occur in more than four of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least four a natural habitat.	6
1	U.	Unknown	

# NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

	Score	6
	Documentation: Identify type of habitats where it occurs and degree/type of impacts: See A2.2. Sources of information:	
2 2 D	Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.	
	ole of disturbance in establishment	0
A.	Requires anthropogenic disturbances to establish.	0
В.	May occasionally establish in undisturbed areas but can readily establish in areas with natural or anthropogenic disturbances.	2
C.	Can establish independent of any known natural or anthropogenic disturbances.	4
U.	Unknown	
	Score	2
	Documentation:	
	Identify type of disturbance:	
	Shade intoerant so mostly found in disturbed areas but can establish in natural openings.	
	Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006; authors' personal observations.	
3.4 Cl	imate in native range	
A.	Native range does not include climates similar to Indiana	0
В.	Native range possibly includes climates similar to at least part of Indiana	1
C.	Native range includes climates similar to those in Indiana	3
U.	Unknown	J
c.		
	Score	3
	Documentation:	3
	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:	3
	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.	3
	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China. Sources of information:	3
3.5. Cı	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China. Sources of information: U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.	3
	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China. Sources of information: U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008. arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see	3
	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China. Sources of information: U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope )	0
questio	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China. Sources of information: U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope )	
questic A. B.	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China. Sources of information: U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008. Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope ) Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada	
questic A. B. C.	Documentation: Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: Native to temperate regions of northern and central China. Sources of information: U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope) Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province. Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.	0 1 2
questic A. B.	Documentation:  Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:  Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.  Sources of information:  U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope)  Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada  Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern states	0 1 2 3
questic A. B. C.	Documentation:  Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:  Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.  Sources of information:  U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope)  Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada  Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.	0 1 2 3
questic A. B. C.	Documentation:  Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:  Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.  Sources of information:  U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope)  Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada  Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern states	0 1 2 3
questic A. B. C.	Documentation:  Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:  Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.  Sources of information:  U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope )  Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada  Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern	0 1 2 3
questic A. B. C. D.	Documentation:  Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:  Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.  Sources of information:  U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Generate introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope)  Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada  Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.	0 1 2 3
questic A. B. C. D.	Documentation:  Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:  Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.  Sources of information:  U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope)  Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada  Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.  Unknown  Score	0 1 2 3
questic A. B. C. D.	Documentation:  Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana:  Native to temperate regions of northern and central China.  Sources of information:  U.S.D.A. GRIN, 2008; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, 2008.  Arrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see on 3.1 for definition of geographic scope)  Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada  Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.  Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.  Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.  Unknown  Score	0 1 2 3

# NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form version date: August 12, 2008

See known introduced range in plants.usda.gov, and update with information from

	states and Canadian provinces.	
	U.S.D.A., 2008.	
3.6. Cui	rrent introduced distribution of the species in natural areas in Indiana	
A.	Present in no Indiana counties	0
В.	Present in 1-10 Indiana counties	1
C.	Present in 11-20 Indiana counties	2
D.	Present in 21-50 Indiana counties	3
E.	Present in more than 50 Indiana counties or on Federal noxious weed list	4
U.	Unknown	•
	Score	4
	Documentation:	
	Describe distribution:	
	See A1.1. Sources of information:	
	Weldy and Werier, 2005.	
	•	
	Total Possible	25
	Section Three Total	21
	·	
4. FE	EASIBILITY OF CONTROL	
4.1. See	ed banks	
A.	Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for less than 1 year, or does not make	0
В.	viable seeds or persistent propagules.  Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for at least 1 to 10 years	2
Б. С.	Seeds (or vegetative propagates) remain viable in soil for more than 10 years	2 3
U.	Unknown	3
0.	Score	2
	Documentation:	
	Identify longevity of seed bank:	
	Seeds may take over 12 months to germinate indicating they remain viable for over a year;	
	evidence not available that they remain viable for 10 years or more.	
	Sources of information:	
4.2 Vec	Plants for a Future, 2008. getative regeneration	
A.	No regrowth following removal of aboveground growth	0
В.	Regrowth from ground-level meristems	1
C.	Regrowth from extensive underground system	2
D.	Any plant part is a viable propagule	3
U.	Unknown	
	Score	1
	Documentation:	
	Describe vegetative response:	
	Plants can respory after being cut; can also sucker.	
	Sources of information:  Cordeiro & Fallows 2006: author's (Moora's) personal observations	

#### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form version date: August 12, 2008

4.3. Lev	vel of effort required	
A.	Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic	0
В.	disturbance.  Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft²).	2
C.	Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be difficult, but possible (infestation as above).	3
D.	Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above). Unknown	4
U.	Clikilowii	
	Score	1
	Score Documentation:	4
	Documentation:	4
		4
	Documentation: Identify types of control methods and time-term required: Hand pulling or digging for smaller plants, girdling and chemical treatment for larger plants. Stems can resprout if not disposed. Sources of information:	10
	Documentation: Identify types of control methods and time-term required: Hand pulling or digging for smaller plants, girdling and chemical treatment for larger plants. Stems can resprout if not disposed. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.	
	Documentation: Identify types of control methods and time-term required: Hand pulling or digging for smaller plants, girdling and chemical treatment for larger plants. Stems can resprout if not disposed. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.  Total Possible Section Four Total	10 7
	Documentation: Identify types of control methods and time-term required: Hand pulling or digging for smaller plants, girdling and chemical treatment for larger plants. Stems can resprout if not disposed. Sources of information: Cordeiro & Fellows, 2006.  Total Possible	10

#### **References for species assessment:**

Ambrose, J.D. 1999. Update COSEWIC status report on the red mulberry Morus rubra in Canada. Committee on the Status of Endangered Wildlife in Canada (COSEWIC). Ottawa, Canada. 11 pp.

Brooklyn Botanic Garden. 2008. AILANTHUS database. [Accessed on August 13, 2008].

Burgess, K.S., M. Morgan, L. Deverno, and B.C. Husband. 2005. Asymmetrical introgression between two Morus species (M. alba, M. rubra) that differ in abundance. Molecular Ecology, 14(11): 3471-3483.

Cordeiro, J. and M. Fellows, 2006. Morus alba. U.S. Invasive Species Impact Rank (I-Rank). NatureServe Encyclopedia of Life. <a href="https://www.natureserve.org">www.natureserve.org</a> [Accessed on August 13, 2008.]

Czarapata, E.J. 2005. Invasive Plants of the Upper Midwest. An Illustrated Guide to Their Identification and Control. The University of Wisconsin Press: Madison, Wisconsin. 215 pp.

Husband, B.C., K. Burgess, and J. D. Ambrose. 2001. Recovery action for red mulberry (Morus rubra) in Canada: a report for the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources. University of Guelph, unpublished. 13 pp.

Kazempour, M.N. & Kamran, E. 2008. A study of fungal diseases on mulberry trees (Morus alba) in

#### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form version date: August 12, 2008

Guilan province. <research.guilan.ac.ir/research/doc/a928.pdf.>. [Accessed on August 13, 2008.]

Maryland Cooperative Extension. 2003. Invasive Plant Control in Maryland. Home & Garden. Mimeo #HG88. <hgic.umd.edu/content/documents/hg88.pdf.> . [Accessed August 13, 2008.]

Plants for a Future Database. 2008. Morus alba. Plants for a Future Database. <a href="https://www.pfaf.org/database/plants.php?Morus+alba">www.pfaf.org/database/plants.php?Morus+alba</a>. [Accessed on August 13, 2008.]

Schaffner, J.H. 1936. Offspring of a self-pollinated reverse carpellate plant of Morus alba. Botanical Gazette 98(2): 425-428.

United States Department of Agriculture, GRIN. 2008. USDA, ARS. National Genetic Resources Program. Germplasm Resources Information Network - (GRIN) [Online Database]. National Germplasm Resources Laboratory, Beltsville, Maryland. <www.ars-grin.gov/cgi-bin/npgs/html/tax\_search.pl> . [Accessed on August 13, 2008.]

United States Department of Agriculture, National Resources Conservation Service. 2008. The PLANTS Database. National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, Louisiana. [Accessed on August 13, 2008.]

Weber, E. 2003. Invasive plant species of the world: a reference guide to environmental weeds. CABI Publishing, Cambridge, MA. 548 pp.

Weeks, S.S. 2003. Red and white mulberry in Indiana. Purdue University Forestry and Natural Resources FNR-237. 6pp.

Weldy, T. and D. Werier. 2005. New York Flora Atlas. [S.M. Landry, K.N. Campbell, and L.D. Mabe (original application development), Florida Center for Community Design and Research. University of South Florida]. New York Flora Association, Albany, New York. [Accessed on August 13, 2008.]

**Citation:** This IN ranking form may be cited as: Jacquart, E.M. 2012. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of Indiana. Unpublished. Invasive Plant Advisory Committee (IPAC) to the Indiana Invasive Species Council, Indianapolis, IN.

Acknowledgments: The IN form incorporates components and approaches used in several other systems, cited in the references below. The Invasive Plant Advisory Committee was created by the Indiana Invasive Species Council in October 2010, and is made up of the original members of the Indiana Invasive Plant Assessment Working Group (IPSAWG). Original members of IPSAWG included representatives of the The Nature Conservancy; Indiana Native Plant and Wildflower Society; Indiana Nursery and Landscape Association; Indiana Chapter of the American Society of Landscape Architects; Indiana Forage Council; Indiana Wildlife Federation; Indiana State Beekeepers Association; Indiana Beekeeper's Association; Department of Natural Resources; Hoosier National Forest; Indiana Academy of Science; Natural Resources Conservation Service; Indiana Department of Environmental Management; Indiana Department of Transportation; Purdue Cooperative Extension Service; Seed Administrator, Office of the Indiana State Chemist.

#### References for ranking form:

Carlson, Matthew L., Irina V. Lapina, Michael Shephard, Jeffery S. Conn, Roseann Densmore, Page Spencer, Jeff Heys, Julie Riley, Jamie Nielsen. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of Alaska.

#### NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

- Technical Paper R10-TPXX, USDA Forest Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK XX9. Alaska Weed Ranking Project may be viewed at: http://akweeds.uaa.alaska.edu/akweeds\_ranking\_page.htm.
- Heffernan, K.E., P.P. Coulling, J.F. Townsend, and C.J. Hutto. 2001. Ranking Invasive Exotic Plant Species in Virginia. Natural Heritage Technical Report 01-13. Virginia Dept. of Conservation and Recreation, Division of Natural Heritage, Richmond, Virginia. 27 pp. plus appendices (total 149 p.).
- Morse, L.E., J.M. Randall, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, and S. Lu. 2004. An Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: Evaluating Non-Native Plants for Their Impact on Biodiversity. Version 1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. http://www.natureserve.org/getData/plantData.jsp
- Randall, J.M., L.E. Morse, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, S. Lu, and T. Killeffer. 2008. The Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: A Tool for Creating Regional and National Lists of Invasive Nonnative Plants that Negatively Impact Biodiversity. Invasive Plant Science and Management 1:36–49
- Warner, Peter J., Carla C. Bossard, Matthew L. Brooks, Joseph M. DiTomaso, John A. Hall, Ann M.Howald, Douglas W. Johnson, John M. Randall, Cynthia L. Roye, Maria M. Ryan, and Alison E. Stanton. 2003. Criteria for Categorizing Invasive Non-Native Plants that Threaten Wildlands. Available online at www.caleppc.org and www.swvma.org. California Exotic Pest Plant Council and Southwest Vegetation Management Association. 24 pp.
- Williams, P. A., and M. Newfield. 2002. A weed risk assessment system for new conservation weeds in New Zealand. Science for Conservation 209. New Zealand Department of Conservation. 1-23 pp.