DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

Scientific name:	Cirsium vulgare	USDA Plants Code: CIVU
Common names:	Bull Thistle, Common Thi	stle, Spear Thistle
Native distribution:	Europe, including Britain,	from Scandinavia south and east to N. Africa and W.
	Asia.	
Date assessed:	7-2-2013	
Assessors:	Zach Deitch, Ellen Jacquar	t
Reviewers:	Crystal Rehder	
Date Approved:		

#### Indiana Invasiveness Rank: High (Relative Maximum Score 70.00-80.00)

Inv	asiveness Ranking Summary	Total (Total Answered*)	Total
(see	e details under appropriate sub-section)	Possible	
1	Ecological impact	40 (40)	20
2	Biological characteristic and dispersal ability	25 ( <u>25</u> )	22
3	Ecological amplitude and distribution	25 ( <u>25</u> )	23
4	Difficulty of control	10 ( <u>10</u> )	5
	Outcome score	$100 (100)^{b}$	70 <sup>a</sup>
	Relative maximum score <sup>†</sup>		70
	Indiana Invasiveness Rank <sup>§</sup>	High	

\* For questions answered "unknown" do not include point value in "Total Answered Points Possible." If "Total Answered Points Possible" is less than 70.00 points, then the overall invasive rank should be listed as "Unknown." †Calculated as 100(a/b) to two decimal places.

\$Very High >80.00; High 70.00-80.00; Moderate 50.00-69.99; Low 40.00-49.99; Insignificant <40.00

## A. DISTRIBUTION (KNOWN/POTENTIAL):

A1 Has th	A1 Has this species been documented to persist without			
cultivatio	cultivation in IN? (reliable source; voucher not required)			
$\boxtimes$	Yes – continue to A2.2			
	No – continue to A2.1			
A2What	is the likelihood that this species will occur and persist			
outside of	f cultivation given the climate in Indiana? (obtain			
from occ	urrence data in other states with similar climates)			
$\boxtimes$	Likely – continue to A3			
	Not likely – stop here. There is no need to assess the			
species				
-	•			



## NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

#### Documentation:

Sources of information: Range maps compiled from PLANTS database, http://plants.usda.gov/java/; Indiana CAPS database, http://extension.entm.purdue.edu/CAPS/index.html; Indiana IPSAWG reports (unpublished); and EDDMapS reports, http://eddmaps.org/

A3 Describe the potential or known suitable habitats within Indiana (underlined). Natural habitats include all habitats not under active human management. Managed habitats are indicated with an asterisk.

Aquatic Habitats	Wetland Habitats	Upland Habitats
Rivers/streams	Marshes	Forest
Natural lakes and ponds	Fens	<u>Savannas</u>
Reservoirs/impoundments*	Bogs	Barrens
	Shrub swamps	Prairies
	Forested wetlands/riparian	Cultivated*
	Beaches/dunes	Old Fields*
	Ditches*	Roadsides*

Other potential or known suitable habitats within Indiana: rough grassland, overgrazed pasture, dunes, and sea-cliffs. It also occurs in fertile habitats subject to disturbance, including waste ground, arable fields, spoil heaps, and on burned areas of woodland

Documentation: Fields, waysides, gardens, waste places to 600 meters, meadows, marshes, and cultivated beds Equally likely to occur in wetlands-riparian or non-wetlands and is characteristic of disturbed habitats. Sources of information: ARKive: Images of Life on Earth. California Invasive Plant Council, 2013. Savi, 2012. Zouhar, 2002.

## **B. INVASIVENESS RANKING**

#### Questions apply to areas similar in climate and habitats to Indiana unless specified otherwise.

### 1. ECOLOGICAL IMPACT

1.1. Impact on Natural Ecosystem Processes and System-Wide Parameters (e.g. fire regime, geomorphological changes (erosion, sedimentation rates), hydrologic regime, nutrient and mineral dynamics, light availability, salinity, pH)

A.	No perceivable impact on ecosystem processes based on research studies, or the absence of impact information if a species is widespread (>10 occurrences in minimally managed	0
	areas), has been well-studied (>10 reports/publications), and has been present in the northeast for $> 100$ years	
_	normeast for >100 years.	-
В.	Influences ecosystem processes to a minor degree (e.g., has a perceivable but mild influence on soil nutrient availability)	3
C.	Significant alteration of ecosystem processes (e.g., increases sedimentation rates along streams or coastlines, reduces open water that are important to waterfowl)	7
D.	Major, possibly irreversible, alteration or disruption of ecosystem processes (e.g., the species alters geomorphology and/or hydrology, affects fire frequency, alters soil pH, or	10
	fixes substantial levels of nitrogen in the soil making soil unlikely to support certain native	
	plants or more likely to favor non-native species)	

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

U.	Unknown		
	S	core	3
	Documentation:		
	Identify ecosystem processes impacted (or if applicable, justify choosing answer A in the absence of impact information)	3	
	Out-competes native plant species for water, nutrients, and space. Immobilizes nutrients during the process of litter breakdown. Populations of bull thistle tend to be short lived, establishing after disturbance, dominating for a few years, and then declining as other vegetation recovers Sources of information: California Invasive Plant Council, 2013. Zouhar, 2002.		
1.2. Imj	pact on Natural Community Structure		
A.	No perceived impact; establishes in an existing layer without influencing its structure		0
B.	Influences structure in one layer (e.g., changes the density of one layer)		3
C.	Significant impact in at least one layer (e.g., creation of a new layer or elimination of an existing layer)		7
D. U	Major alteration of structure (e.g., covers canopy, eradicating most or all layers below) Unknown		10
0.	S	core	3
	Documentation:		5
	Identify type of impact or alteration: Plants establish readily on disturbed sites and out-compete native plant species for wate nutrients, and space. Also can dominate forest clear cuts and reduce growth of tree seedlings.	r,	
	Populations of bull thistle tend to be short lived, establishing after disturbance, dominate for a few years, and then declining as other vegetation recovers	ing	
	Sources of information: King County Noxious Weed Control Program, 2012. California Invasive Plant Council, 2013. Zouhar, 2002.		
1.3. Im	pact on Natural Community Composition		
A. 1	No perceived impact; causes no apparent change in native populations		0
B.	Influences community composition (e.g., reduces the number of individuals in one or monative species in the community)	ore	3
C.	Significantly alters community composition (e.g., produces a significant reduction in the population size of one or more native species in the community)		7
D.	Causes major alteration in community composition (e.g., results in the extirpation of one several native species, reducing biodiversity or change the community composition towa species exotic to the natural community)	or Irds	10
U.	Unknown		
	S	core	7
	Documentation:		
	Identify type of impact or alteration:		
	Out-competes native plant species for water, nutrients, and space. Also can dominate for	rest	
	clear cuts and reduce growth of tree seedlings. Bull thistle is a problem in pastures because it competes with and decreases desirable		

# NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

	<i>forage.</i> Sources of information: King County Noxious Weed Control Program, 2012. California Invasive Plant Council, 2013. Zouber, 2002	
1.4. Imp the anir Exampl connect soil/sed native s impacts	pact on other species or species groups (cumulative impact of this species on nals, fungi, microbes, and other organisms in the community it invades. les include reduction in nesting/foraging sites; reduction in habitat tivity; injurious components such as spines, thorns, burrs, toxins; suppresses liment microflora; interferes with native pollinators and/or pollination of a species; hybridizes with a native species; hosts a non-native disease which is a native species)	
А.	Negligible perceived impact	0
В.	Minor impact	3
С.	Moderate impact	7
D.	Severe impact on other species or species groups	10
U.	Unknown	
	Score	7
	<ul> <li>Documentation:</li> <li>Identify type of impact or alteration:</li> <li>Out-competes native plant species for water, nutrients, and space. Also can dominate forest clear cuts and reduce growth of tree seedlings.</li> <li>Bull thistle is a problem in pastures because it competes with and decreases desirable forage and has no significant nutritive value for livestock and other grazing animals.</li> <li>Populations of bull thistle tend to be short lived, establishing after disturbance, dominating for a few years, and then declining as other vegetation recovers</li> </ul>	
	Sources of information:	
	California Invasive Plant Council, 2013. King County Novious Weed Control Program 2012	
	Zouhar, 2002.	
	Total Possible	40
	Section One Total	20
2. B.	IOLOGICAL CHARACTERISTICS AND DISPERSAL ABILITY	
2.1. Mo	ode and rate of reproduction	
А.	No reproduction by seeds or vegetative propagules (i.e. plant sterile with no sexual or	0
В.	asexual reproduction). Limited reproduction (fewer than 10 viable seeds per plant AND no vegetative reproduction; if viability is not known, then maximum seed production is less than 100 seeds per plant and no vegetative reproduction)	1
C.	Moderate reproduction (fewer than 100 viable seeds per plant - if viability is not known, then maximum seed production is less than 1000 seeds per plant - OR limited successful vegetative spread documented)	2
D.	Abundant reproduction with vegetative asexual spread documented as one of the plants prime reproductive means OR more than 100 viable seeds per plant (if viability is not known, then maximum seed production reported to be greater than 1000 seeds per plant.)	4

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

U.	Unknown		
	Sco	re	4
	Documentation: Describe key reproductive characteristics (including seeds per plant): Bull thistle plants produce about 100 to 300 or more seeds per flowerhead under favorable conditions, and anywhere from 1 to over 400 flowerheads per plant. Variability in production of seeds per flowerhead and flowerheads per plant yields a wide range in number of seeds produced per plant.	2	
	Cirsium vulgare is a biennial and can be self-fertile.		
	Sources of information: Savi, 2012. Zouhar, 2002.		
2.2. Inn	ate potential for long-distance dispersal (e.g. bird dispersal, sticks to animal hair	·,	
buoyant	fruits, pappus for wind-dispersal)		
Α.	Does not occur (no long-distance dispersal mechanisms)		0
В.	Infrequent or inefficient long-distance dispersal (occurs occasionally despite lack of adaptations)		1
C.	Moderate opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal, but studies report that 95% of seeds land within 100 meters of the parent plant)		2
D.	Numerous opportunities for long-distance dispersal (adaptations exist for long-distance dispersal and evidence that many seeds disperse greater than 100 meters from the parent plant)		4
U.	Unknown		
	Sco	re	4
	Documentation: Identify dispersal mechanisms: The common thistle is a pernicious weed that spreads freely by means of its seed which can be dispersed by the wind over a large area. Bull thistle seeds may be carried by water and animals Sources of information: Savi, 2012.	ı	
	Zouhar, 2002.		
2.3. Pot mechan highwa	tential to be spread by human activities (both directly and indirectly – possibl hisms include: commercial sales, use as forage/revegetation, spread along ys, transport on boats, contaminated compost, land and vegetation ement equipment such as mowers and excavators, etc.)	e	
A	Does not occur		0
B.	Low (human dispersal to new areas occurs almost exclusively by direct means and is infrequent or inefficient)		1
C.	Moderate (human dispersal to new areas occurs by direct and indirect means to a moderate extent)		2
D.	High (opportunities for human dispersal to new areas by direct and indirect means are numerous, frequent, and successful)		3
U.	Unknown	_	
	~		-
	Sco	re	3

## NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

	Identify dispersal mechanisms:	
	<u>Unintentional</u> : Contaminated hay is a primary means of spread of this species. Rapid migration of bull thistle across large geographical regions is probably the result of human activities including movement of livestock, vehicles, farm machines, and plant products. In addition to wind, water, and animals Sources of information:	
	King County Noxious Weed Control Program, 2012. Zouhar, 2002.	
2.4. Ch	aracteristics that increase competitive advantage, such as shade tolerance,	
ability	to grow on infertile soils, perennial habit, fast growth, nitrogen fixation,	
allelop	athy, etc.	
A.	Possesses no characteristics that increase competitive advantage	0
B.	Possesses one characteristic that increases competitive advantage	3
C.	Possesses two or more characteristics that increase competitive advantage	6
U.	Unknown	-
	Score	3
	Documentation:	
	Evidence of competitive ability: Bull thistle plants produce about 100 to 300 or more seeds per flowerhead under favorable conditions, and anywhere from 1 to over 400 flowerheads per plant. Variability in production of seeds per flowerhead and flowerheads per plant yields a wide range in number of seeds produced per plant.	
	Sources of information: Savi, 2012.	
2.5. Gr	owth vigor	
A.	Does not form thickets or have a climbing or smothering growth habit	0
В.	Has climbing or smothering growth habit, forms a dense layer above shorter vegetation, forms dense thickets, or forms a dense floating mat in aquatic systems where it smothers other vegetation or organisms	2
U.	Unknown	
	Score	2
	Documentation: Describe growth form: Can form a thicket which is impenetrable due to the thorns (Jacquart, personal observation) Sources of information:	
2.6. Ge	ermination/Regeneration	
A.	Requires open soil or water and disturbance for seed germination, or regeneration from vegetative propagules.	0
B.	Can germinate/regenerate in vegetated areas but in a narrow range or in special conditions	2
C.	Can germinate/regenerate in existing vegetation in a wide range of conditions	3
U.	Unknown (No studies have been completed)	5
0.	Score	3
	Documentation:	
	Describe germination requirements:	

Grows on light (sandy), medium (loamy), and heavy (clay) soils. Suitable pH: acid, neutral,

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

	and basic (alkaline) soils. <b>It cannot grow in the shade.</b> It prefers moist soil. Germination usually takes place within 2 - 8 weeks at 20°c. Seed germination generally occurs in the fall and spring and flowers from June- September.	
	Bull thistle seeds germinate well over a wide range of temperatures. Bull thistle is a very widespread weed that can grow in a wide range of environments.	
	Sources of information:	
	King County Noxious Weed Control Program, 2012.	
	Savi, 2012.	
	Zouhar, 2002.	
2.7. Oth	her species in the genus invasive in Indiana or elsewhere	
А.	No	0
B.	Yes	3
U.	Unknown	
	Score	3
	Documentation:	
	<i>Cirsium arvensis is invasive in Indiana and a noxious weed per IC-15-16-2-(1)</i> Species:	
	Total Possible	25
	Section Two Total	22

# 3. ECOLOGICAL AMPLITUDE AND DISTRIBUTION

3.1. Density of stands in natural areas in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (use same definition as Gleason & Cronquist which is: "The part of the United States covered extends from the Atlantic Ocean west to the western boundaries of Minnesota, Iowa, northern Missouri, and southern Illinois, south to the southern boundaries of Virginia, Kentucky, and Illinois, and south to the Missouri River in Missouri. In Canada the area covered includes Nova Scotia, Prince Edward Island, New Brunswick, and parts of Quebec and Ontario lying south of the 47th parallel of latitude")

No large stands (no areas greater than 1/4 acre or 1000 square meters) A. 0 Large dense stands present in areas with numerous invasive species already present or B. 2 disturbed landscapes Large dense stands present in areas with few other invasive species present (i.e. ability to C. 4 invade relatively pristine natural areas) U. Unknown Score 2 Documentation: Identify reason for selection, or evidence of weedy history: This species forms large but not necessarily dense stands in disturbed habitats in Indiana (Jacquart, personal observation).

Sources of information: Jacquart, personal observation.

3.2. Number of habitats the species may invade

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

Not known to invade any natural habitats given at A2.2	0
Known to occur in two or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least one a natural	1
habitat.	
Known to occur in three or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least two a natural	2
habitat.	
Known to occur in four or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least three a natural	4
habitat.	
Known to occur in more than four of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least four a natural	6
habitat.	
	Not known to invade any natural habitats given at A2.2 Known to occur in two or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least one a natural habitat. Known to occur in three or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least two a natural habitat. Known to occur in four or more of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least three a natural habitat. Known to occur in more than four of the habitats given at A2.2, with at least four a natural habitat.

U.	Unknown		
		Score	6
	Documentation:		
	Identify type of habitats where it occurs and degree/type of impacts:		
	Nine habitats, six of them natural, identified in A3.		
	Sources of information:		
	See A3.		
3.3. Ro	le of disturbance in establishment		
А.	Requires anthropogenic disturbances to establish.		0
В.	May occasionally establish in undisturbed areas but can readily establish in areas with	ı	2
	natural or anthropogenic disturbances.		
C.	Can establish independent of any known natural or anthropogenic disturbances.		4
U.	Unknown		
		Score	4
	Documentation:		
	Identify type of disturbance:		
	Species characteristic of disturbed places. Due to its widespread range and variability	y of	
	habitat, it seems that spear-thistle does not require human disturbance to prosper. It of	can	
	also invade natural communities adjacent to disturbed habitats.		
	It is found most commonly in disturbed habitats, but bull thistle can also colonize area	as in	
	relatively undisturbed grasslands, meadows and forest openings.		
	Sources of information:		
	ARKive: Images of Life on Earth.		
	Calflora 2013		

Calflora, 2013. Zouhar, 2002.

## 3.4. Climate in native range

А.	Native range does not include climates similar to Indiana		0
B.	Native range possibly includes climates similar to at least part of Indiana		1
C.	Native range includes climates similar to those in Indiana		3
U.	Unknown		
		Score	3
	Documentation:		

Describe what part of the native range is similar in climate to Indiana: It occurs in all 50 States and most of Canada. It has a global distribution.

Sources of information: Zouhar, 2002.

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

question 3.1 for definition of geographic scope )       A.       Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada       0         B.       Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.       1         C.       Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.       2         D.       Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.       3         E.       Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.       4         and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern state or eastern Canadian provinces.       4	3.5. Cu	rrent introduced distribution in the northeastern USA and eastern Canada (see				
<ul> <li>A. Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada 0</li> <li>B. Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province. 1</li> <li>C. Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian 2</li> <li>provinces.</li> <li>D. Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, 3</li> <li>and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.</li> <li>E. Present as a non-native in &gt;8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. 4</li> <li>and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.</li> </ul>	question 3.1 for definition of geographic scope )					
B.       Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.       1         C.       Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.       2         D.       Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.       3         E.       Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern state or eastern Canadian provinces.       4         states or eastern Canadian provinces.       4	A.	Not known from the northeastern US and adjacent Canada	0			
C.       Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.       2         D.       Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.       3         E.       Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.       4	B.	Present as a non-native in one northeastern USA state and/or eastern Canadian province.	1			
D. Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.       3         E. Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.       4	C.	Present as a non-native in 2 or 3 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.	2			
<ul> <li>E. Present as a non-native in &gt;8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces.</li> <li>4 and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.</li> </ul>	D.	Present as a non-native in 4–8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces, and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 1 northeastern state or eastern Canadian province.	3			
	E.	Present as a non-native in >8 northeastern USA states and/or eastern Canadian provinces. and/or categorized as a problem weed (e.g., "Noxious" or "Invasive") in 2 northeastern states or eastern Canadian provinces.	4			
U. Unknown	U.	Unknown				
Score 4		Score	4			
Documentation: Identify states and provinces invaded: It occurs in all 50 States and most of Canada. It has a global distribution.		Documentation: Identify states and provinces invaded: It occurs in all 50 States and most of Canada. It has a global distribution.				
Zouhar, 2002.		Zouhar, 2002.				

## 3.6. Current introduced distribution of the species in natural areas in Indiana

A.	Present in no Indiana counties	0
В.	Present in 1-10 Indiana counties	1
C.	Present in 11-20 Indiana counties	2
D.	Present in 21-50 Indiana counties	3
E.	Present in more than 50 Indiana counties or on Federal noxious weed list	4

Unknown U.

Documentation:
Describe distribution:
Documented in 64 counties of Indiana.
Sources of information:
See A1

Total Possible	25
Section Three Total	23

#### 4. DIFFICULTY OF CONTROL 4.1. Seed banks Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for less than 1 year, or does not make 0 A. viable seeds or persistent propagules. Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for at least 1 to 10 years B. 2 Seeds (or vegetative propagules) remain viable in soil for more than 10 years 3 C. Unknown U. 2

Score

Score

4

## NON-NATIVE PLANT INVASIVENESS RANKING FORM

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

Documentation: Seeds are short-lived on the soil surface but can persist for up to 5 years when they are buried. Sources of information: King County Noxious Weed Control Program, 2012. Zouhar, 2002. 4.2. Vegetative regeneration No regrowth following removal of aboveground growth A. 0 Regrowth from ground-level meristems B. 1 C. Regrowth from extensive underground system 2 Any plant part is a viable propagule 3 D. Unknown U Score 1 Documentation: Describe vegetative response: Taproot. Bull thistle does not reproduce vegetatively. Sources of information: King County Noxious Weed Control Program, 2012. Virginia Tech Weed Identification Guide. 4.3. Level of effort required Management is not required: e.g., species does not persist without repeated anthropogenic 0 A. disturbance. B. Management is relatively easy and inexpensive: e.g. 10 or fewer person-hours of manual 2 effort (pulling, cutting and/or digging) can eradicate a 1 acre infestation in 1 year (infestation averages 50% cover or 1 plant/100 ft<sup>2</sup>). C. Management requires a major short-term investment: e.g. 100 or fewer person-hours/year of 3 manual effort, or up to 10 person-hours/year using mechanical equipment (chain saws, mowers, etc.) for 2-5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication is difficult, but possible (infestation as above). Management requires a major investment: e.g. more than 100 person-hours/year of manual D. 4 effort, or more than 10 person hours/year using mechanical equipment, or the use of herbicide, grazing animals, fire, etc. for more than 5 years to suppress a 1 acre infestation. Eradication may be impossible (infestation as above). U. Unknown Score 2 Documentation: Identify types of control methods and time-term required: Although it is intimidating in appearance and can sometimes form large infestations, this thistle is not as challenging to control as many others and is mainly a problem in hay fields and pastures. Mechanical/Manual Control: Bull thistle can be dug up with a shovel. Usually removing the top couple of inches of root is sufficient to kill the plant. Mowed thistles will produce new branches from basal buds but close cutting or cutting twice per season will usually prevent seed production and reduce the population over time. Biological Control: The bull thistle seed head gall fly (Urophora stylata) lays eggs on

closed flower buds in June and July. After hatching, the larvae burrow into the seedproducing tissues to feed, forming galls and reducing seed production. If the bull thistle

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

population is large enough to support a good sized population of this insect, it can be an effective way to reduce seed production of the bull thistle. This insect will not get rid of the bull thistle, however, just reduce its impact.

Monitoring sites. Sources of information: King County Noxious Weed Control Program, 2012. Zouhar, 2002.

> **Total Possible** Section Four Total

10 5

Total for 4 sections Possible	100
<b>Total for 4 sections</b>	70

#### **References for species assessment:**

ARKive: Images of Life on Earth. "Spear Thistle (Cirsium vulgare)". http://www.arkive.org/spear-thistle/cirsiumvulgare/

Calflora, 2013. "Information on California plants for education, research and conservation, based on data contributed by dozens of public and private institutions and individuals, including the Consortium of Calif. Herbaria". Berkeley, California: The Calflora Database. http://www.calflora.org/. Accessed: Jun 13, 2013.

California Invasive Plant Council. 2013. "Cirsium vulgare (bull thistle)". http://www.calipc.org/ip/management/plant profiles/Cirsium vulgare.php

King County Noxious Weed Control Program. 2012. "Bull Thistle Cirsium vulgare". http://www.kingcounty.gov/environment/animalsAndPlants/noxious-weeds/weed-identification/bull-thistle.aspx

Savi, T. 2012. "Cirsium vulgare". Plants For A Future. http://www.pfaf.org/user/Plant.aspx?LatinName=Cirsium+vulgare

USDA, NRCS. 2007. The PLANTS Database (http://plants.usda.gov, 13 June 2013). National Plant Data Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70874-4490 USA.

Virginia Tech Weed Identification Guide. "Bull Thistle: Cirsium vulgare". http://www.ppws.vt.edu/scott/weed id/cirvu.htm

Zouhar, Kris. 2002. "Cirsium vulgare". In: Fire Effects Information System. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Research Station, Fire Sciences Laboratory. Available: http://www.fs.fed.us/database/feis/. Accessed June 13, 2013.

Citation: This IN ranking form may be cited as: Jacquart, E.M. 2011. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of Indiana. Unpublished. Invasive Plant Advisory Committee (IPAC) to the Indiana Invasive Species Council, Indianapolis, IN.

Acknowledgments: The IN ranking form is an adaptation for Indiana use of the form created for New York by Jordan et al. (2009), cited below. Documentation for species assessed for New York are used for Indiana where they are applicable. The Invasive Plant Advisory Committee was created by the Indiana Invasive Species Council in October 2010, and is made up of the original members of the Indiana Invasive Plant Assessment Working Group

DRAFT ASSESSMENT FOR INVASIVE PLANTS NOT IN TRADE Form originally created for use in New York Indiana Form version date: November 1, 2010

(IPSAWG). Original members of IPSAWG included representatives of the The Nature Conservancy; Indiana Native Plant and Wildflower Society; Indiana Nursery and Landscape Association; Indiana Chapter of the American Society of Landscape Architects; Indiana Forage Council; Indiana Wildlife Federation; Indiana State Beekeepers Association; Indiana Beekeeper's Association; Department of Natural Resources; Hoosier National Forest; Indiana Academy of Science; Natural Resources Conservation Service; Indiana Department of Environmental Management; Indiana Department of Transportation; Purdue Cooperative Extension Service; Seed Administrator, Office of the Indiana State Chemist.

#### **References for the Indiana ranking form:**

Jordan, M.J., G. Moore, and T.W. Weldy. 2009. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of New York. Unpublished. The Nature Conservancy, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; Brooklyn Botanic Garden, Brooklyn, NY; The Nature Conservancy, Albany, NY.

#### **References for the New York ranking form:**

- Carlson, Matthew L., Irina V. Lapina, Michael Shephard, Jeffery S. Conn, Roseann Densmore, Page Spencer, Jeff Heys, Julie Riley, Jamie Nielsen. 2008. Invasiveness ranking system for non-native plants of Alaska. Technical Paper R10-TPXX, USDA Forest Service, Alaska Region, Anchorage, AK XX9. Alaska Weed Ranking Project may be viewed at: <u>http://akweeds.uaa.alaska.edu/akweeds\_ranking\_page.htm</u>.
- Heffernan, K.E., P.P. Coulling, J.F. Townsend, and C.J. Hutto. 2001. Ranking Invasive Exotic Plant Species in Virginia. Natural Heritage Technical Report 01-13. Virginia Dept. of Conservation and Recreation, Division of Natural Heritage, Richmond, Virginia. 27 pp. plus appendices (total 149 p.).
- Morse, L.E., J.M. Randall, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, and S. Lu. 2004. An Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: Evaluating Non-Native Plants for Their Impact on Biodiversity. Version 1. NatureServe, Arlington, Virginia. http://www.natureserve.org/getData/plantData.jsp
- Randall, J.M., L.E. Morse, N. Benton, R. Hiebert, S. Lu, and T. Killeffer. 2008. The Invasive Species Assessment Protocol: A Tool for Creating Regional and National Lists of Invasive Nonnative Plants that Negatively Impact Biodiversity. Invasive Plant Science and Management 1:36–49
- Warner, Peter J., Carla C. Bossard, Matthew L. Brooks, Joseph M. DiTomaso, John A. Hall, Ann M.Howald, Douglas W. Johnson, John M. Randall, Cynthia L. Roye, Maria M. Ryan, and Alison E. Stanton. 2003. Criteria for Categorizing Invasive Non-Native Plants that Threaten Wildlands. Available online at www.caleppc.org and www.swvma.org. California Exotic Pest Plant Council and Southwest Vegetation Management Association. 24 pp.
- Williams, P. A., and M. Newfield. 2002. A weed risk assessment system for new conservation weeds in New Zealand. Science for Conservation 209. New Zealand Department of Conservation. 1-23 pp.